arXiv:1704.03439v2 [hep-ph] 17 Apr 2017

Are the new excited Ω_c baryons negative parity states?

T. M. Aliev,* S. Bilmis,† and M. Savci‡

Department of Physics, Middle East Technical University, 06800, Ankara, Turkey

(Dated: April 18, 2017)

Abstract

We calculate the mass and residue of the newly observed $\Omega_c(3000)$ and $\Omega_c(3066)$ states with quantum numbers $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ within QCD sum rules. Our predictions on masses are in good agreement with the experimental results.

* taliev@metu.edu.tr

† sbilmis@metu.edu.tr

‡ savci@metu.edu.tr

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the LHCb Collaboration announced the observation of five very narrow excited Ω_c baryons decaying into $\Xi^+\bar{K}$ [1]. The masses and decay widths of these new states are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1 &= 4.5 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.3 \text{ (MeV)}, \quad & \mathrm{m}_1 &= 3000.4 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma_2 &= 0.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ (MeV)}, \quad & \mathrm{m}_2 &= 3050.2 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma_3 &= 3.5 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ (MeV)}, \quad & \mathrm{m}_3 &= 3065.6 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma_4 &= 8.7 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.8 \text{ (MeV)}, \quad & \mathrm{m}_4 &= 3090.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV}, \\
\Gamma_5 &= 1.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ (MeV)}, \quad & \mathrm{m}_5 &= 31191.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9 \text{ MeV}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Quantum numbers (J^P) of these new states have not been established in the experiments yet. However, in recent studies, various scenarios have been employed concerning the nature of these states. In [2], the lowest states are assumed to have the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ quantum numbers which are radial excitations of ground state Ω_c and Ω_c^* baryons, and within QCD sum rules their masses are estimated. In [3], the authors try to answer the following questions: Why are the five states discovered? Why are they narrow? What are their spin-parity quantum numbers? Do similar states of other heavy baryons, as well as Ω_c, exist for beauty baryons within the quark model? They interpret the states as bound states of a c-quark and a P wave ss-diquark. This picture predicts the existence of five states with negative parity.[1] Moreover, newly observed Ω_c baryon as pentaquarks within the chiral quark model is discussed in [4] and it is shown that the $\Xi^-\bar{D}$, $\Xi_c\bar{K}$, and $\Xi_c^*\bar{K}$ are the possible decay candidates of these new particles. Furthermore, the strong and radiative decays of the low-lying Ω_c states are investigated in the framework of a constituent quark model in [5]. Additionally, the ground and excited state spectra of Ω_c^0 baryons is analyzed from lattice QCD in [6] and the result strongly indicated that the states $\Omega_c^0(3000)$, $\Omega_c^0(3050)$ and $\Omega_c^0(3066)$, $\Omega_c^0(3090)$ and $\Omega_c^0(3119)$ have parity spin $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{5}{2}^-$ respectively. In [7] these states are interpreted as the P wave orbitally excited states.

In present letter within QCD sum rules method, we estimate the mass with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$, $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ of Ω_c baryons respectively. The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we derive the mass sum rules for negative parity $\Omega_c^0(3000)$ and $\Omega_c^0(3066)$ with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and

[1] In this work, the authors also present an alternative possibility that the two heavy states are $2s$ excitations with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$, while the three light states are interpreted as $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$, $\frac{3}{2}^-$ and $\frac{5}{2}^-$ states.

$J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$. Section III is devoted to the numerical analysis of the obtained sum rules. The last section contains discussions and conclusion.

II. MASS SUM RULES FOR $\Omega_c(3000)$ AND $\Omega_c(3066)$ BARYONS

To derive the mass sum rules for $\Omega_c(3000)$ and $\Omega_c(3060)$ baryons we consider the following two point correlation functions

$$\Pi(p) = \int d^4x e^{ipx} \left\{ \langle 0|T\{\eta_Q(x)\bar{\eta}_Q(0)\}|0\rangle \right\} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{Q_c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon^{abc}\Big\{ & (s^{a^T} C Q^b)\gamma_5 C^c - (Q^{a^T} C s^b)\gamma_5 s^c \\ & + \beta\big[(s^{a^T} C\gamma_5 Q^b)s^c - (Q^{a^T} C\gamma_5 s^b)s^c\big]\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\eta_{Q_\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{abc}\Big\{ (s^a C\gamma_\mu s^b)Q^c + (s^a C\gamma_\mu Q^b)s^c + (Q^a C\gamma_\mu s^b)s^c) \Big\} \tag{4}$$

is the interpolating currents of Ω_Q baryons with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ (see for example [8]) and a,b,c are color indices, C is the charge conjugation operator, Q is the heavy c or b quark and β is the arbitrary parameter.

In order to obtain the mass sum rules, the correlation functions are calculated in terms of hadrons and quark-gluon degrees of freedom, then with the help of dispersion relation, these results are equated. In this way, the sum rules are obtained.

It should be noted that the interpolating currents η_Q and η_{Q_μ}interact with both positive and negative parity baryons. Using this fact and saturating eq. (2) with positive and negative parity baryons we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(p) &= \frac{\langle 0|\eta_Q|\Omega_Q^{(+)}\rangle\langle\Omega_Q^+|\bar{\eta}_Q|0\rangle}{m^2_{\Omega^+} - p^2} \\ &+ \frac{\langle 0|\eta_Q|\Omega_Q^{(-)}\rangle\langle\Omega_Q^-|\bar{\eta}_Q|0\rangle}{m^2_{\Omega^-} - p^2} + ... \\ \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) &= \frac{\langle 0|\eta_{Q_\mu}|\Omega_Q^{*(+)}\rangle\langle\Omega_Q^{*+}|\bar{\eta}_\nu|0\rangle}{m^2_{\Omega_Q^{+*}} - p^2} \\ &+ \frac{\langle 0|\eta_{Q_\mu}|\Omega_Q^{*(-)}\rangle\langle\Omega_Q^{*-}|\bar{\eta}_\nu|0\rangle}{m^2_{\Omega^{*-}} - p^2} + ... \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here, Ω_Q^+ (Ω^{+*}), Ω_Q^- (Ω^{-*}) are the ground states positive and negative parity baryons with spin-1/2 (3/2). The dots describe for higher states and continuum contributions.

The matrix elements entering to eqs. (4) and (5) are determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0|\eta_Q|(\frac{1}{2}^+)\rangle &= \lambda_+ u(p) \\
\langle 0|\eta_Q|(\frac{1}{2}^-)\rangle &= \lambda_- \gamma_5(p) \\
\langle \eta_{Q\mu}|3/2^+\rangle &= \lambda_+^* u_\mu(p) \\
\langle \eta_{Q\mu}|3/2^-\rangle &= \lambda_-^* \gamma_5 u_\mu(p)
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $u_\mu(p)$ is the Rarita-Schwinger spinor for spin 3/2 particle.

Using these matrix elements and performing summation over the spins of baryons, we get the phenomenological part of the correlation functions as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(p) &= \frac{\lambda_+^2(\not{p}+m_+)}{m_+^2-p^2} + \frac{\lambda_-^2(\not{p}-m_-)}{m_-^2-p^2} \\
\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) &= \left[\frac{\lambda_+^{*2}(\not{p}+m_+^*)}{m_+^{*2}-p^2} + \frac{\lambda_-^2(\not{p}-m_-^*)}{m_-^{*2}-p^2}\right] g_{\mu\nu} + ...
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Notice that, the states with masses $m_{\Omega_c}^+ = 2695$ MeV, $m_{\Omega_c}^{*+} = 2766$ MeV are denoted as m_+, m_+^* and their residues are denoted as λ_+ and λ_+^* correspondingly. In these expressions, the second terms in RHS of eq. (7) describe contributions of $\Omega(3000)$ and $\Omega_c(3066)$ states.

Here we would like to make the following remark. First of all the summation over spin is performed by using

$$\sum_s u_\mu^s \bar{u}_\nu^s = -(\not{p}+m)\big[g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu - \frac{2}{3m^2}p_\mu p_\nu + \frac{1}{3m}(p_\mu\gamma_\nu - p_\nu\gamma_\mu)\big]. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, the interpolating current η_μ couples to the $J^P = \frac{1}{2}$ as well as $\frac{3}{2}$ state. The contribution of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}$ state is determined as

$$\langle 0|\eta_\mu|\frac{1}{2}(p)\rangle = \big[Ap_\mu + B\gamma_\mu\big]u(p). \tag{9}$$

From this expression it follows that the structures proportional to p_μ and γ_μ contain contributions from 1/2 states and it follows from eq. (8) that only $\sim g_{\mu\nu}$ structure contains the contribution of $\frac{3}{2}$ states. For this reason in the next discussion, we choose only structures $\sim \not{p} g_{\mu\nu}$ or $g_{\mu\nu}$ in order to analyze the mass and residues of the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ states.

Now let us turn our attention to the calculation of the correlator function from QCD side by using the operator product expansion (OPE). For performing calculation we need

the expression of light (strange quark) and heavy quark propagators. Their expressions in x representation are given in [5, 9] and [7], respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_s^{ab}(x) &= \frac{i\not{x}\delta^{ab}}{2\pi^2x^4} - m_q\delta^{ab}4\pi^2x^2 - \delta^{ab}12\langle\bar{s}s\rangle(1-\frac{1}{4}i\not{x}) \\
&- \frac{x^2}{192}m_0^2\langle\bar{s}s\rangle(1-\frac{i}{6}\not{x}m_s)\delta^{ab} - \frac{i}{32\pi^2}g_sG_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(\sigma^{\mu\nu}\not{x}+\not{x}\sigma^{\mu\nu}) \\
&- \frac{m_s}{32\pi^2}g_sG_{\mu\nu}^{ab}\ln(-x^2) - \frac{m_q\delta^{ab}}{2^73^2}x^4\langle\bar{s}s\rangle^2 \\
&- \frac{x^4\delta^{ab}}{2^{10}3^3}\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle - \frac{m_q\delta^{ab}}{2^93\pi^2}x^2\ln(-x^2)\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_Q^{ab}(x) &= \frac{m_Q^3\delta^{ab}}{2\pi^2}\left\{\frac{m_Qi\not{x}}{m_Q^2(\sqrt{-x^2})^2}K_2(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2}) + \frac{1}{m_Q\sqrt{-x^2}}K_1(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})\right\} \\
&- \frac{m_Qg_sG_{\mu\nu}^{ab}}{8(2\pi)^2}\left\{i(\sigma_{\mu\nu}\not{x}+\not{x}\sigma_{\mu\nu})\frac{1}{m_Q\sqrt{-x^2}}K_1(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2}) + 2\sigma_{\mu\nu}K_0(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})\right\} \\
&- \frac{\delta^{ab}\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{576(2\pi)^2m_Q}\left\{(im_Q\not{x}-6)m_Q\sqrt{-x^2}K_1(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2}) + (m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})^2K_2(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $K_n(m_Q\sqrt{-x^2})$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. Using these expressions for the propagators of the heavy and light quarks, the correlation function can be calculated. Separating the coefficients of $\not{p}$ and I operator structures in spin 1/2 baryons and $\not{p}g_{\mu\nu}$, $g_{\mu\nu}$ Lorentz structures for spin 3/2 baryons and performing Borel transformations over p^2 we get the following sum rules:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_+^2e^{-m_+^2/M^2} + \lambda_-^2e^{-m_-^2/M^2} &= \Pi_1^B \\
m_+\lambda_+^2e^{-m_+^2/M^2} - m_-\lambda_-^2e^{-m_-^2/M^2} &= \Pi_2^B
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_+^{*^2}e^{-m_+^{*^2}/M^2} + \lambda_-^{*^2}e^{-m_-^{*^2}/M^2} &= \Pi_1^{*B} \\
m_+^*\lambda_+^{*^2}e^{-m_+^{*^2}/M^2} - m_-^*\lambda_-^{*^2}e^{-m_-^{*^2}/M^2} &= \Pi_2^{*B}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The expressions of Π_1^B, Π_2^B, Π_1^{*B} and Π_2^{*B} are presented in [10] and [11] respectively. As seen from these equations, in order to determine the mass and residues of the negative parity Ω_c and Ω_c^* baryons the values of the mass and residue of their positive parity counterpart is required. We take the values of mass and residue of ground state positive baryons obtained

from mass sum rule, namely $m_+ = (2.685 \pm 0.123)$ GeV, $m_+^* = (2.77)$ GeV and $\lambda_+ = (6.2 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-2}$, $\lambda_+^* = (7.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-2}$ (see for example [12]). In result, we have two unknowns, namely the masses m_-, λ_- and m_-^*, λ_-^*. Solving eq.(12) we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_-^2 &= \frac{d(\frac{-1}{M^2})[m_+\Pi_1^B - \Pi_2^B]}{m_+\Pi_1^B - \Pi_2^B}, \\
\lambda_-^2 &= \frac{e^{m_-^2/M^2}}{m_+ + m_-}[m_+\Pi_1^B - \Pi_2^B].
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
$$

Moreover, equations for the determination of the negative parity spin 3/2 states formally can be obtained from eq.(14) replacing $m_\pm \to m_\pm^*$, $\Pi_i^B \to \Pi_i^{*B}$.

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we perform numerical analysis of the mass sum rules for negative parity Ω_c and Ω_c^* baryons. The sum rules involve numeric parameters. For numerical analysis we used the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_c &= (1.27 \pm 0.03)\ \text{GeV}, \\
m_s &= (96^{+8}_{-4})\ \text{MeV}, \\
\langle \bar{s}s \rangle &= 0.8(-0.24 \pm 0.01)^3\ \text{GeV}^3, \\
m_0^2 &= (0.8 \pm 0.2)\ \text{GeV}^2, \\
\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G^2 \rangle &= (1.27 \pm 0.03)\ \text{GeV}, \\
m_b &= (4.8^{+0.04}_{-0.03})\ \text{GeV}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{15}
$$

In addition to these input parameters, the sum rules contain three auxiliary parameters: Borel mass square M^2, continuum threshold s_0 and arbitrary parameter β in the expression of the interpolating current η for $J^P = \frac{1}{2}$ states. For the prediction of the mass of the negative parity Ω_c and Ω_c^* baryons we need to find the working region of these parameters in such a way that the mass is practically independent of them. The working region of M^2 is determined as follows. The lower bound of M^2 is obtained from the condition that the higher dimension operators contributions should be less than perturbative contribution in order to guarantee the convergence of OPE series. On the other hand, the upper bound of M^2 is determined from the condition that the contributions of the continuum and higher

states should be less than say half of the pole contributions. Our analysis shows that these conditions are satisfied if Borel mass parameter lies in the region 2 GeV$^2 \leq$ M$^2 \leq$ 5 GeV^2 for Ω_c baryon.

The other auxiliary parameter of the sum rules is the continuum threshold s_0. This parameter is not totally arbitrary and is related to the energy of the first excited state. The difference $\Delta = \sqrt{s_0} - m_{ground}$ is the energy to excite the particle to its first energy state. Analysis of various sum rules shows that this parameter Δ varies between 0.3 GeV and 0.8 GeV. In our analysis, we choose s_0 between (3.3 GeV)^2 and (3.5 GeV)^2. Having the values of the parameters M^2 and s_0 our last attempt is to find a region of β where the mass of Ω_c baryons become practically independent on β. In Figs. (1) and (2) we present the dependence of m_-^2 on M^2 at fixed values of s_0 and several fixed values of β. From the figure, it follows that the mass m_- shows good stability to the variables of M^2 in the working region. In Fig. (3) we present the dependence of m_- on $\cos\theta$, where $\beta = \tan\theta$, at $s_0 = 10$ GeV^2 and several fixed values of M^2. From this figure, we observe that m_- becomes practically insensitive to the variation of $\cos\theta$ if it lies in the domain $(-1; -0.5)$. In other words, the working region of $\cos\theta$ is $(-1; -0.5)$. In Figs (4) and (5), we present the dependence of λ_- on $\cos\theta$ at several fixed values of s_0 and M^2 from its working region. From these Figures, we see that similar to the mass sum rules the λ_- exhibits very good stability to the variation of $\cos\theta$ when it changes in the domain $-1 < \cos\theta < -0.5$. With these findings, our final results for the mass and residue of Ω_c state are

$$\begin{aligned} m_- &= (3.00 \pm 0.01)\ \text{GeV}, \\ \lambda_- &= (0.020 \pm 0.002). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We perform a similar analysis for spin 3/2 states too at two fixed values of s_0. In Fig. (6) we present the M^2 dependence of m_-^*. We observe that in the working region of M^2 we have good stability with respect to the variation of M^2. In Fig. (7) the dependence of the residue λ_-^* on M^2 at two fixed values of s_0 is depicted. From these figures we deduce following results:

$$\begin{aligned} m_-^* &= (3.07 \pm 0.03)\text{GeV}, \\ \lambda_-^* &= (0.017 \pm 0.003). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Once our results are compared with the experimental data, we observed impressive agreement between them.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we calculate the mass of newly observed excited Ω_c baryons with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ at LHCb. Our results show that the sum rules predict their mass successfully once these new states are assigned as negative parity. For establishing the spin-parity assignment after determination of mass and residue it is necessary to calculate the decay widths and compare the result with the existing experimental data. Only after these calculations, one can determine the spin-parity content of these newly observed states.

Note added.— While we were completing this study, the work [13] appeared in arXiv where new observed Ω_c particles are assigned as negative parity baryons and their masses are studied within QCD sum rules by using the different forms of interpolating currents than the ones we have used. Our results on mass are very close to the ones presented in [13].

[1] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb), (2017), arXiv:1703.04639 [hep-ex].

[2] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi, and H. Sundu, (2017), arXiv:1703.07091 [hep-ph].

[3] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, (2017), arXiv:1703.07774 [hep-ph].

[4] G. Yang and J. Ping, (2017), arXiv:1703.08845 [hep-ph].

[5] K.-L. Wang, L.-Y. Xiao, X.-H. Zhong, and Q. Zhao, (2017), arXiv:1703.09130 [hep-ph].

[6] M. Padmanath and N. Mathur, (2017), arXiv:1704.00259 [hep-ph].

[7] W. Wang and R.-L. Zhu, (2017), arXiv:1704.00179 [hep-ph].

[8] E. Bagan, M. Chabab, H. G. Dosch, and S. Narison, Phys. Lett. **B278**, 367 (1992).

[9] T. M. Aliev, A. Ozpineci, and M. Savci, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 016002 (2002), [Erratum: Phys. Rev.D67,039901(2003)], arXiv:hep-ph/0204035 [hep-ph].

[10] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, T. Barakat, and M. Savci, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 036004 (2015), arXiv:1505.07977 [hep-ph].

[11] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and A. Ozpineci, Nucl. Phys. **B808**, 137 (2009), arXiv:0807.3481 [hep-ph].

[12] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, and M. Savci, Phys. Lett. **B696**, 220 (2011), arXiv:1009.3658 [hep-ph].

[13] Z.-G. Wang, (2017), arXiv:1704.01854 [hep-ph].



FIG. 1. The dependence of the mass of the negative parity Ω_c baryon on Borel mass parameter M^2 at $s_0 = 10$ GeV^2 and for several fixed values of β is depicted.



FIG. 2. Same as in Fig. (1), but at $s_0 = 11$ GeV^2.



FIG. 3. The dependence of m_{Ω_c} on $\cos\theta$ at $s_0 = 10\text{GeV}^2$ and at several fixed values of M^2.



FIG. 4. The dependence of the residue (λ_{Ω_c}) on $\cos\theta$ at $s_0 = 10$ GeV^2 for three fixed values of M^2 is shown.



FIG. 5. Same as in Fig. (4), but at $s_0 = 11$ GeV^2

.



FIG. 6. The dependence of $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ Ω_c^* baryon mass on M^2 at two fixed values of s_0 is depicted.



FIG. 7. Same as in Fig. (6) but for the residue of $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ Ω_c^* baryon.